of fice of pettition

Attorney's Docket No. 024060-114

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of)		
)	,~	
Shigeto OHMORI et al.) G	roup Art Unit: 2873 –	
)		
Patent No. 6,301,062) E	xaminer: D. Spector	
)		D
Issued: October 9, 2001)		RECEIVED
)		MAR 1 5 2002
For: LENS OPTICAL SYSTEM)		MAIL TO ZOOZ
			OFFICE OF PETITIONS

PETITION FOR INITIATION OF COMMISSIONER ORDERED REEXAMINATION

ATTENTION: OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

RECEIVED JUN 1 1 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

The undersigned would like to thank Magdalen Greenlief, Editor of the M.P.E.P., for assistance in understanding the appropriate procedure to take under the present circumstances. In accordance with her suggestions, Applicants respectfully petition the Commissioner to order a reexamination of the above-captioned patent. The basis for this Petition is as follows.

On March 26, 2001, the above-captioned application was allowed by the Examiner.

This resulted in the payment of Issue Fee on June 26, 2001.

On June 26, 2001, Applicants also filed a divisional application (U.S. Application No. 09/888,577: Attorney Reference 024060-135) presenting original claim 1, which was allowed in the parent application. Applicants intended to file a new set of claims in the child application when the Examiner Thompson (who did not examine the parent 00000017 6301062

03/12/2002 JADD01 00000017 6301062

01 FC:122

130.00 GP

Application No. <u>09/451,080</u> Attorney's Docket No. <u>024060-114</u>

Page 2

application) issued a rejection of claim 1 based on prior art not of record in the parent application. Hence, Official Action issued in the child application rejecting a claim that was about to issue in the parent application.

In response to the these circumstances, Director Falcone executed a Notice of Withdrawal from Issue under 37 C.F.R. §1.313(b). For reasons not apparent, the withdrawal from issuance was not effective and above-captioned patent issued on October 9, 2001.

Under the present circumstances, Applicants/Patentee understand that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office will institute a reexamination proceeding to clarify the record. This Petition is being filed under 37 C.F.R. §1.182, but Applicants/Patentee respectfully request that the Petition be treated under whatever section is appropriate. A petition fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h) is enclosed. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17, 1.20(d) and 1.21 that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 02-4800. This paper is submitted in duplicate.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

By:

Charles F. Wieland III Registration No. 33,096

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404 (703) 836-6620

Date: March //, 2002